

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/972,424	10/04/2001	Chris E. Matichuk	22407-05676	8244	
	7590 09/27/200 BOEHNEN HULBER	EXAMINER			
300 S. WACKER DRIVE 32ND FLOOR			CHOUDHURY, AZIZUL Q		
CHICAGO, IL	60606	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2145		
			•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ľ'nν

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/972,424	MATICHUK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Azizul Choudhury	2145	

	- LAGITIMIO	Alt Olik					
· .	Azizul Choudhury	2145					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
time periods:  a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two montl	ns of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>		•					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul>		i E delow);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not deemed fully persuasive.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.							
JASON CARDONE AC							
9	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM	INÉA					
· ·		•					

Application No. 09/972,424

Continuation of 13. Other: The applicant's arguments are not deemed fully persuasive. With regards to claim 1, the applicant argues that the claim feature of "selection of the advertisement, allowing automatic programming of the media-based device to record the broadcast program at the predetermined start time," is not taught by the prior art, Killian. The examiner disagrees, Killian teaches how in a web-based environment, a JAVA-based electronic programming guide is provided allowing users to select and record shows (see column 5, line 51 - column 6, line 5 and column 8, lines 19-26, Killian). The applicant continues then to argue that Killian does not teach receiving/selecting based on the advertisement of a program. Again, the examiner disagrees with this assertion. Killian's interface is web-based and it cites how web sites associated with the program are displayed (see column 5, line 51 - column 6, line 5). A web site is a form of advertisement, especially when the web site is focused on a program as it is here. The next argument presented by the applicant concerns "identification information," and how Killian fails to teach such a trait. The examiner again disagrees. Killian teaches within column 10, lines 1-17, how user profiles are used. With regards to the applicant's arguments that Killian fails to teach a first and second server as claimed within claim 29, the examiner stands by the teachings of Killian within Figure 1, elements 46 and 48.